

Fig. 1. Molecular structure and atom numbering. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) was used for this drawing and for Fig. 3.


Fig. 2. Newman projections along $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$. (a) Ervatamine. (b) This work.


Fig. 3. Crystal structure viewed along b.
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#### Abstract

C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSn}\), orthorhombic, $\mathrm{C} 222_{1}$; $\mathrm{a}=17.569$ (20), $b=12.293$ (20), $c=8.240$ (12) $\AA$, $U=1778 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=8, \quad D_{x}=1.81 \quad \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-3}, \quad \mu(\mathrm{Mo}$ $K \alpha)=28.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined to an $R$ of 0.043 for 719 unique two-circle diffractometer data. Planar trimethyltin groups are linked by disordered $-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{O}-$ units to form infinite helical chains along $\mathbf{c}$, with trigonal bipyramidal geometry about Sn .


Introduction. Several tetraorganotin derivatives undergo insertion of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ into the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}$ bond to give stable, crystalline mono-inserted products which have
been shown by IR and NMR studies to be aggregated in solution (Fong \& Kitching, 1970). In view of the facility with which Sn increases its coordination number beyond four when a suitable bridging ligand is available, it seemed likely that these insertion compounds are polymeric in the solid state, and this has been confirmed by the structure of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnOS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ (Ginderow \& Huber, 1973) and by the determination reported here.

The sample was prepared by dissolving $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ in dry liquid $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ under vacuum. Evaporation of excess $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ left a white sotid which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ to afford a few plate-like crystals which were mounted in

Lindemann glass capillary tubes. Intensities were determined with an automated Stoe two-circle diffractometer, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, graphite monochromator, and a crystal $0.04 \times 0.25 \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm}$ (layers $h 0-12 l$ ). 1377 reflexions were measured in an approximately constant count mode; Lp and absorption corrections were applied, and equivalent reflexions averaged to give 719 unique observed data. $a$ and $c$ were obtained by a least-squares fit to the $\omega$ values of 141 h 0 l reflexions, and $b$ was obtained from diffractometer $\mu$ measurements.

The atoms were located from Patterson and difference syntheses; the MeS moiety was found to be disordered, and was refined with the site occupation factors constrained so that $k_{a}+k_{b}=1$, where $k_{a}$ is the value for both $\mathrm{S}(1 a)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5 a)$, and $k_{b}$ for $\mathrm{S}(1 b)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5 b) . k_{a}$ refined to 0.55 (2); common temperature factors were employed for $S(1 a)$ and $S(1 b)$, and for $C(5 a)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5 b)$. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors were employed; the weighting scheme was $w=$ $\Sigma\left[\sigma^{2}(N)+0.001 N^{2}\right]^{-1} /\left(30 \cdot 13+\left|F_{o}\right|+0.00551 F_{o}^{2}\right)$, where $N$ is the net peak count, and the summation is over equivalent reflexions. 58 parameters were varied independently in the full-matrix least-squares refinement, including interlayer scale factors, anisotropic temperature factors for Sn and isotropic temperature factors for the light atoms. To avoid correlation between the interlayer scale factors and the $U_{22}$ components of the Sn temperature factors, the latter were constrained to be equal

Table 1. Atom coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic temperature factors ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $-1750(1)$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | 0 | $-3311(1)$ | 2500 |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-1760(10)$ | $-1757(23)$ | $576(27)$ | $133(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $-1158(9)$ | $-3426(15)$ | $1283(19)$ | $106(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-2967(15)$ | 0 | 0 | $82(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-1142(11)$ | $253(16)$ | $2179(25)$ | $82(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0 | $-5024(28)$ | 2500 | $56(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $460(11)$ | $-2454(17)$ | $482(24)$ | $83(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Disordered atoms |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 a)$ | $-1481(7)$ | $-2797(7)$ | $-66(15)$ | $57(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 b)$ | $-1763(8)$ | $-2859(9)$ | $621(18)$ | $57(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | $-2038(28)$ | $-3236(49)$ | $-1397(63)$ | $109(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 b)$ | $-2261(33)$ | $-3624(50)$ | $-457(71)$ | $109(12)$ |

Table 2. Anisotropic temperature factors ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ )
The temperature factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} h^{2} a^{* 2}+\cdots+2 U_{12} h k a^{*} b^{*}\right)$.
$\begin{array}{cccccc}U_{11} & U_{22} & U_{33} & U_{23} & U_{13} & U_{12} \\ 51(1) & 63(1) & 73(1) & 13(1) & 0 & 0 \\ 63(1) & 59(1) & 55(1) & 0 & -5(1) & 0\end{array}$
to the mean of $U_{11}$ and $U_{33}$. The refinement converged to $R^{\prime}=\Sigma w^{1 / 2} \Delta / \Sigma w^{1 / 2}\left|F_{o}\right|=0.046$ and $R=0.043$; refinement in the opposite enantiomorph produced no

## Table 3. Bond lengths $(\AA)$

| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.209(31)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.272(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.137(30)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.104(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.113(23)$ | $\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2.128(23)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Disordered group |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.467(29)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.354(30)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(a)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.468(21)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1 b-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.384(20)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | $1.565(53)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{C}(5 b)$ | $1.561(59)$ |

Table 4. Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $179.1(12)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $172.9(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $89.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $86.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $88.0(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $91.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $120.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.2(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.7(12)$ |

Disordered group

| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $108.3(14)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $120 \cdot 7(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $110.1(24)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 b)-\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $126 \cdot 1(26)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $126.3(25)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 b)-\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $110.6(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $140.4(15)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1 a)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | $130.4(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sn}(1)$ | $169.2(17)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1 b)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sn}(2)$ | $146 \cdot 2(13)$ |



Fig. 1. The structure of trimethyltin methylsulphinate, illustrating the SMe-group disorder and a helix parallel to c.
significant changes in the $R$ indices. Final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2 , and the resulting bond lengths and angles in Tables 3 and 4.*

Discussion. Trimethyltin moieties are linked by disordered - $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{O}$ - groups to form infinite helical chains along $\mathbf{c}$, with approximately trigonal bipyramidal geometry at Sn (Fig. 1). There are two crystallographically independent $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ groups, each arranged with one of the $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds along a crystallographic twofold axis so that the group is exactly planar and the Sn atom is coordinated symmetrically by the O atoms to which it is bonded. Symmetrical bridging is also found in $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnOS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ [Ginderow \& Huber (1973); $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O} 2 \cdot 306$ (10) and 2.296(10) $\AA$ ] where, however, the chairs adopt a zigzag rather than helical conformation. The $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in the methylsulphinate are similar to those in trimethyltin methoxide [2•20 (2) and $2 \cdot 26$ (2)

[^0]$\AA$; Domingos \& Sheldrick (1974)], but shorter than the values quoted above for the $-\mathrm{OS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ derivative; this, and the relatively short $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, may arise from librational effects. Subsequent inspection of the X-ray photographs revealed the presence of weak diffuse low-order reflexions corresponding to a $P$ rather than a $C$ lattice, indicating some short-range order in the conformation adopted by the disordered SMe groups.

We are grateful to the Science Research Council for providing the diffractometer and for financial support to RT. The calculations were performed on the Cambridge University IBM 370/165 computer with programs written by GMS. Fig. 1 was drawn with PLUTO written by Dr W. D. S. Motherwell.
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Abstract. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{AuP}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=17.29$ (1), $b=11.27$ (1), $c=8.92$ (1) $\AA, \beta=104.5$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$, $U=1682 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1.88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. There is essentially linear coordination of gold [ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}=179.1$ (8) $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ with $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C} 2.124$ (28) and Au-P 2.279 (8) $\AA$. The structure was refined to an $R$ of 0.092 for 1867 unique diffractometer data.

Introduction. Previous crystallographic studies of $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ complexes containing $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (Bellon, Manassero \& Sansoni, 1969; Corfield \& Shearer, 1967; Baker \& Pauling, 1972) have involved $s p$ or $s p^{2}$ hydridized C , in which $\pi$-bonding between $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ and C is possible. The $\mathrm{Au}^{1}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$ distance in the title compound provides a suitable reference bond length in which $\pi$-bonding is absent.

Colourless crystals were obtained by recrystalliza-
tion from benzene/light petroleum of a sample obtained by standard methods (Tamaki \& Kochi, 1973), and mounted in Lindemann glass capillary tubes. Intensities were measured on a Stoe automated two-circle diffractometer, with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ) and a graphite crystal monochromator. Cell dimensions were obtained by a least-squares fit to $652 h k 0$ and 444 h 0 l diffractometer zero-layer $\omega$-angle measurements. The crystals possessed large mosaic spreads and the data were clearly of mediocre quality; only the $00 l$ data (layers $h k 0-10$ ) were used for structure refinement. Lp and empirical absorption corrections were applied. 2580 reflexions were measured; averaging equivalent reflexions gave 1867 reflexions with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ based on counting statistics.

The atoms were located from Patterson and difference syntheses; the structure was refined by blocked-


[^0]:    *A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31977 ( 6 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1 NZ, England.

